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## 6-Methoxycarbonyl-2-methyl-1H-benzimid-azol-3-ium nitrate hemihydrate

The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+} \cdot \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, crystallizes in a monoclinic unit cell with two benzimidazolium cations, two $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$anions and one water molecule in the asymmetric unit. Intermolecular hydrogen bonds link the cations, anions and water molecules into two-dimensional layers.

## Comment

Benzimidazole derivatives and their metal complexes are of considerable interest due to their biological activities (Matthews et al., 1998), and are widely used for medicaments (David et al., 1993). Benzimidazole derivatives are also used as metal antiseptics, photosensitizers, surfactants, fluorescence whitening agents and photosensitive dyes (Matthews et al., 1996; Raban et al., 1985). In this paper, we report the synthesis and crystal structure of the title compound, (I).

(I)

The asymmetric unit of (I) contains two crystallographically independent benzimidazolium cations $A$ and $B$, two $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ anions and one water molecule (Fig. 1). The cations are parallel to each other, with a dihedral angle of $2.5(2)^{\circ}$ between the mean planes of the two benzimidazole moieties.


Figure 1
View of the asymmetric unit of (I), showing $50 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.
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Figure 2
Packing diagram of (I), showing the layers. Dashed lines denote shortcontact interactions.

Compound (I) is a hemihydrated nitrate salt.
The bond lengths in the benzimidazole skeleton (Table 1) show a character intermediate between single and double bonds, implying a highly $\pi$-conjugated delocalization effect, comparable with those observed in other benzimidazole derivatives (Bei et al., 2004; Ozbey et al., 1998). Each cation is almost planar, with dihedral angles of 1.6 (3) and $0.6(3)^{\circ}$ between the benzene ring and the five-membered ring in $A$ and $B$, respectively.

Within the asymmetric unit, the water molecule is linked to the cation and anion, acting as a hydrogen-bond donor via $\mathrm{O} 1 W-\mathrm{H} 1 W 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 4$ and $\mathrm{O} 1 W-\mathrm{H} 2 W 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 10$ interactions (Table 2). In the crystal packing, the cations, anions and water molecules are connected into two-dimensional layers, which are stacked along the $c$ axis (Fig. 2).

## Experimental

A solution of ethyl 3,4-diaminobenzoate ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetic acid $(30 \mathrm{ml})$ was heated under reflux for 10 h . The mixture was then poured into a beaker containing 50 ml ice water, yielding quantities of precipitate. After filtration, the residue was dissolved in ethanol $(30 \mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at 351 K for 2 h . The yellow solution was left to stand at room temperature, and single crystals of the title compound were obtained unexpectedly.

## Crystal data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+} \cdot \mathrm{NO}_{3}-\cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& M_{r}=262.23 \\
& \text { Monoclinic, } P 2_{j} / c \\
& a=7.9107(6) \AA \\
& b=21.5276(17) \AA \\
& c=14.2691(11) \AA \\
& \beta=94.573(2)^{\circ} \\
& V=242.3(3) \AA^{3} \\
& Z=8
\end{aligned}
$$

Data collection
Bruker SMART 1000 CCD areadetector diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\min }=0.977, T_{\max }=0.989$
12579 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
4284 independent reflections
1703 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.056$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25.0^{\circ}$
$h=-5 \rightarrow 9$
$k=-25 \rightarrow 25$
$l=-16 \rightarrow 15$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.078$
H-atom parameters constrained
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.249$
$S=0.97$
4284 reflections
334 parameters
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.1161 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.002$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.21 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.32 \mathrm{e}^{\circ} \mathrm{A}^{-3}$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left(\AA^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}\right.$ ).

| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $1.354(7)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.321(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $1.474(6)$ | $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 12$ | $1.327(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 19$ | $1.329(6)$ | $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 13$ | $1.384(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 20$ | $1.455(6)$ | $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{C} 12$ | $1.321(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.318(6)$ | $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{C} 18$ | $1.383(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $1.385(6)$ |  |  |
| C2-N1-C3 | $110.1(5)$ | $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $105.8(5)$ |
| C2-N2-C8 | $109.4(5)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $106.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 13$ | $110.4(4)$ | $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $107.8(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{C} 18$ | $110.2(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 18$ | $122.0(5)$ |
| N1-C2-N2 | $108.7(5)$ | $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{C} 13$ | $106.2(4)$ |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bonding geometry $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 6$ | 0.86 | 2.34 | $3.040(6)$ | 138 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 7$ | 0.86 | 2.07 | $2.906(6)$ | 163 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 8^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.86 | 2.36 | $3.120(6)$ | 148 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 9^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.86 | 2.00 | $2.804(7)$ | 154 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 5^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.86 | 2.43 | $3.135(6)$ | 139 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 7^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.86 | 2.04 | $2.868(5)$ | 160 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 8$ | 0.86 | 2.01 | $2.839(6)$ | 163 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 10$ | 0.86 | 2.40 | $3.090(6)$ | 138 |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 W-\mathrm{H} 1 W 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 4$ | 0.85 | 2.43 | $3.28(2)$ | 173 |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 W-\mathrm{H} 2 W 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 10$ | 0.85 | 2.15 | $2.72(2)$ | 124 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 B \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.96 | 2.55 | $3.350(8)$ | 140 |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5 A \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | 0.93 | 2.49 | $3.140(7)$ | 127 |
| $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{H} 10 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 W^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.96 | 2.47 | $3.42(2)$ | 173 |
| $\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{H} 15 A \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{iv}}$ | 0.93 | 2.56 | $3.359(7)$ | 145 |
| $\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{H} 17 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 10$ | 0.93 | 2.55 | $3.258(7)$ | 133 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x-1, y, z$; (ii) $1-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-z$; (iii) $1+x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+z$; (iv) $x-1, \frac{1}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$.

After their location in a difference Fourier map, all H atoms were positioned geometrically $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=0.95, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}=0.86$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=$ $0.93-0.96 \AA$ ) and refined as riding, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2$ or 1.5 times $U_{\text {eq }}$ (parent atom).

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL, PARST (Nardelli, 1995) and PLATON (Spek, 2003).
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